

Note: Your TA probably will not cover all the problems. This is totally fine. The discussion worksheets are deliberately made long so they can serve as a resource you can use to practice, reinforce, and build upon concepts discussed in lecture, readings, and the homework.

1 Discrete Golf

Carolyn loves to play golf, specifically a version called discrete golf. The goal of discrete golf is to hit a golf ball from checkpoint 1 to checkpoint n on a golf course in as few strokes as possible. Based on the terrain of the course, she knows that from checkpoint i , she can hit the ball up to $d(i) \geq 1$ checkpoints away in one stroke. That is, if the ball is at checkpoint i , she can hit the ball to any of checkpoints $\{i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + d(i)\}$ in one stroke.

- (a) Suppose she hits the ball as far as possible every stroke, i.e. if she is at checkpoint i , she hits the ball to checkpoint $i + d(i)$. Give a counterexample where this greedy algorithm does not achieve the minimum number of strokes needed to reach checkpoint n from checkpoint 1.
- (b) Suppose that all $d(i)$ are at most D . Describe a $O(nD)$ time dynamic programming algorithm for computing the minimum number of strokes Carolyn needs to reach point n . Justify your algorithm and analyze its runtime and space complexity.

2 Optimal Set Covering

The Set Cover problem is defined as follows: given a collection $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$ where each S_i is a subset of the universe $U = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we want to pick the minimum number of sets from the collection such that their union contains all of U . In this problem, we will use dynamic programming to come up with an exact solution.

- (a) How long does a brute force solution of trying all the possibilities take? How large can m be compared to n in the worst case?

- (b) As you will learn later on in the class, there does not exist a sub-exponential time algorithm for Set Cover. However, we can try to do better than exponential time in m by aiming for exponential time in only n instead. Describe a dynamic programming algorithm that accomplishes this in $O(2^n \cdot nm)$ time.
 - (a) Define your subproblem.

 - (b) Write your recurrence relation.

 - (c) Describe the order in which we should solve the subproblems.

 - (d) Analyze the runtime of this dynamic programming algorithm.

 - (e) Analyze the space complexity.

3 String Shuffling

Let x , y , and z be strings. We want to know if z can be obtained only from x and y by interleaving the characters from x and y such that the characters in x appear in order and the characters in y appear in order.

For example, if $x = \text{butter}$ and $y = \text{scotch}$, then it is true for $z = \text{butterscotch}$ and $z = \text{sbcuotctterh}$, but false for $z = \text{scotchbuttercandy}$ (extra characters), $z = \text{scotchbutte}$ (missing a final **r**), and $z = \text{scottchbuter}$ (out of order). How can we answer this query efficiently? Your answer must be able to efficiently deal with strings with lots of overlap, such as $x = \text{aaaaaaaaaab}$ and $y = \text{aaaaaaaaac}$.

- (a) Design an efficient algorithm to solve the above problem, briefly justify its correctness, and analyze its runtime. You do *not* need to provide a space complexity analysis (you'll do this in the next part!).

- (b) Consider a bottom-up approach to our DP algorithm in part (a). Naively, if we want to keep track of every solved sub-problem, this requires $O(|x||y|)$ space (double check to see if you understand why this is the case). How can we reduce the amount of space our algorithm uses?

4 Finding More Counterexamples

In this problem, we will explore why we had to resort to Dynamic Programming for some problems from lecture instead of using a fast greedy approach. Give counterexamples for the following greedy algorithms.

- (a) For the travelling salesman problem, first sort the adjacency list of each vertex by the edge weights. Then, run DFS N times at a different starting vertex $v = 1, 2, \dots, N$ for each run. Every time we encounter a back edge, check if it forms a cycle of length N , and if it does, then record the weight of the cycle. Return the minimum weight of any such cycle found so far.

- (b) For the Longest Increasing Subsequence problem, consider this algorithm: Start building the LIS with the smallest element in the array and iterate through the elements to its right in order. Every time we encounter an element $A[i]$ that is larger than the current last element in our LIS, we add $A[i]$ to the LIS.

- (c) Can you construct a counterexample for the previous algorithm where the smallest element in the array is $A[0]$?

5 Balloon Popping

You are given a sequence of n balloons, with each one of a different size. If a balloon is popped, then it produces noise equal to $n_{left} \cdot n_{popped} \cdot n_{right}$, where n_{popped} is the size of the popped balloon and n_{left} and n_{right} are the sizes of the balloons to its left and to its right. If there are no balloons to the left, then we set $n_{left} = 1$. Similarly, if there are no balloons to the right, then we set $n_{right} = 1$, while calculating the noise produced.

After popping a balloon, the balloons to its left and right become neighbors. (Note that the total noise produced depends on the order in which the balloons are popped.)

In this problem, we will design a polynomial-time dynamic programming algorithm to compute the maximum noise that can be generated by popping the balloons.

Example:

Input (Sizes of the balloons in a sequence): ④ ⑤ ⑦

Output (Total noise produced by the optimal order of popping): 175

Walkthrough of the example:

- **Current State** ④ ⑤ ⑦
 Pop Balloon ⑤
 Noise Produced = $4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
- **Current State** ④ ⑦
 Pop Balloon ④
 Noise Produced = $1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7$
- **Current State** ⑦
 Pop Balloon ⑦
 Noise Produced = $1 \cdot 7 \cdot 1$
- **Total Noise Produced** = $4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 + 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 + 1 \cdot 7 \cdot 1$.

(a) Define your subproblem as follows:

$$C(i, j) = \begin{array}{l} \text{maximum amount of noise produced by popping balloons} \\ \text{in the sublist } i, i+1, \dots, j \text{ first before the other balloons} \end{array}$$

What are the base cases? Are there any special cases to consider?

- (b) Write down the recurrence relation for your subproblems $C(i, j)$.

Hint: suppose the k -th balloon (where $k \in [i, j]$) is the last balloon popped, after popping all other balloons in $[i, j]$. What is the total noise produced so far?

- (c) What is the runtime of a dynamic programming algorithm using this recurrence? What is its space complexity?

Note: you may assume that all arithmetic operations take constant time.

- (d) Is it possible to modify your algorithm above to use less space? If so, describe your modification and re-analyze the space complexity. If not, briefly justify.